

1 XAVIER BECERRA
2 Attorney General of California
3 TAMAR PACTER
4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5 KEVIN A. CALIA
6 Deputy Attorney General
7 NELSON R. RICHARDS
8 Deputy Attorney General
9 ALEXANDRA ROBERT GORDON
10 Deputy Attorney General
11 State Bar No. 207650
12 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
13 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
14 Telephone: (415) 703-5509
15 Fax: (415) 703-5480
16 E-mail: Alexandra.RobertGordon@doj.ca.gov
17 *Attorneys for Defendant*
18 *Attorney General Xavier Becerra*
19

20
21 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
22 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
23 SOUTHERN DIVISION
24

25 **RYAN YOHN, et al.,**

26 Plaintiffs,

27 8:17-cv-00202

28 v.
29 **CALIFORNIA TEACHERS
30 ASSOCIATION, et al.,**

31 Defendants.

32 **ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
33 JOINDER IN MOTION TO STAY
34 PROCEEDINGS**

35 Date: November 17, 2017
36 Time: 2:30 p.m.
37 Courtroom: 10A
38 Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

1 Attorney General Xavier Becerra respectfully submits this joinder in the
2 Union Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings, ECF No. 150. As set forth by the
3 Union Defendants, *see* ECF No. 150-1, the Supreme Court's decision in *Janus v.*
4 *AFSCME*, Council 31, No. 16-1466, is likely to provide significant guidance, if not
5 rulings of law, that will materially impact this litigation. Accordingly, a stay of
6 these proceedings pending resolution in *Janus* will prevent the Court and the parties
7 from spending time and resources addressing issues and matters that may be
8 rendered unnecessary by the determination of the Supreme Court. While a stay will
9 not prejudice plaintiffs, requiring the parties to litigate a case that could be
10 narrowed, if not resolved, by the Court's decision in *Janus*, and without the
11 guidance provided by the Court in that decision, will cause considerable hardship
12 and inequity to defendants. A stay also serves the interests of judicial economy and
13 efficiency and promotes the "orderly course of justice." *See Landis v. North Am.*
14 *Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936); *CMAX, Inc. v. Hall*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir.
15 1962).

16

17

Dated: October 4, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

18

19

XAVIER BECERRA

Attorney General of California

20

TAMAR PACTER

21

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

22

KEVIN A. CALIA

23

Deputy Attorney General

24

NELSON R. RICHARDS

25

Deputy Attorney General

26

/s/ *Alexandra Robert Gordon*

27

ALEXANDRA ROBERT GORDON

28

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendant

Attorney General Xavier Becerra